UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,710	07/22/2003	Alexander Gantman	030464B1	8120
23696 7590 11/20/2007 QUALCOMM INCORPORATED			EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO SAN DIEGO, O		PARTHASARATHY, PRAMILA		
SAN DIEGO, C	JA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
•				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		11/20/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

		$m\sim$				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
0.00	10/625,710	GANTMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pramila Parthasarathy	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 O	<u>ctober 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. •					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number:

10/625,710 Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.
- **2.** Applicant's submission filed on October 04, 2007 has been entered and made of record.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to Amended Claims 1 – 61 have been fully considered and the prior art rejection has been withdrawn. However, amended claims are rejected under double patenting rejection with copending application 10/356,425. Examiner suggests filing a terminal disclaimer to overcome double patenting rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Amended Claims 1 - 6, 10 - 14 are allowed.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29

Application/Control Number:

10/625,710 Art Unit: 2136

USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1 – 61 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 – 26 of copending

Application No. 10/356,425. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant case, all elements of instant claims correspond to the claims of the copending application claims, except in the instant claims a converter coupled to the processor and configured to convert the access code into acoustic sound waves encoded with the access code, the converter encoding the access code into the acoustic sound waves using multicarrier modulation, is referred in the copending application claims as an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing system coupled to the sound generator and the storage medium, the OFDM system configured to modulate the data into multiple audio wave carriers for transmission through the sound generator. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to recognize that an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing is a type of multicarrier communication technique (well known in the art) and the term

Application/Control Number:

10/625,710 Art Unit: 2136

multiple sound wave carriers refers to the carrier signal in a multi-carrier, as disclosed in the copending application paragraphs [0023 and 0024].

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pramila Parthasarathy whose telephone number is 571-272-3866. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00a.m. To 5:00p.m.. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-232-4195. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pramila Parthasarathy

November 10, 2007